

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE
LITIGATION

MDL NO. 1456

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS

ALL ACTIONS

Judge Patti B. Saris

STATUS REPORT JANUARY 3, 2011

Pursuant to the Court's June 17, 2004 Procedural Order, the undersigned counsel for Class Plaintiffs and Defendants hereby submit the attached status report to the Court listing the status of all pending class motions to date.

DATED: January 3, 2011

By /s/ Thomas M. Sobol
Thomas M. Sobol (BBO#471770)
Edward Notargiacomo (BBO#567636)
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
55 Cambridge Parkway, Suite 301
Cambridge, MA 02142
Telephone: (617) 482-3700
Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

LIAISON COUNSEL

Steve W. Berman
Sean R. Matt
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Jeffrey Kodroff
John Macoretta
Spector, Roseman Kodroff & Willis, P.C.
1818 Market Street, Suite 2500
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 496-0300
Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

Kenneth A. Wexler
Jennifer Fountain Connolly
Wexler Wallace LLP
55 W. Monroe, Suite 3300
Chicago, IL 60603
Telephone: (312) 346-2222
Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Marc H. Edelson
Hoffman & Edelson LLC
45 West Court Street
Doylestown, PA 18901
Telephone: (215) 230-8043
Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

CLASS COUNSEL

DATED: January 3, 2011

By /s/ Jeff Bone
Nicholas C. Theodorou (BBO#496730)
Jeff Bone (BBO#666688)
Foley Hoag, LLP
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, MA 02210

D. Scott Wise (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Michael Flynn (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Davis Polk & Wardwell
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS
LP**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Thomas M. Sobol, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing, STATUS REPORT JANUARY 3, 2011, to be delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on January 3, 2011 a copy to LexisNexis File & Serve for posting and notification to all parties.

/s/ Thomas M. Sobol

Thomas M. Sobol

MDL 1456 STATUS CHART – PRIVATE CLASS CASES

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action	Master File 01-CV-12257-PBS	D. Mass. pursuant to JPML Order	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May 3, 2004 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period from Defendants Abbott Laboratories, AstraZeneca, Schering Plough, Sisor and Together Rx Defendants <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) [Docket No. 826] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 17, 2004 – Certain Defendants’ Notice of Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Certain Documents and Consent Motion for an Extension of Time [Docket No. 838] ○ May 26, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Schering–Plough (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to Schering-Plough</i>) [Docket No. 851] ○ May 27, 2004 – Consent Motion for an Extension of Time to Oppose the Motion to Compel [Docket No. 855] ○ May 27, 2004 – Opposition of the Together Rx Defendants to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 854] ○ May 28, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Abbott Laboratories (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to Abbott Laboratories</i>) [Docket No. 857] ○ June 8, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant AstraZeneca. (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to AstraZeneca</i>) [Docket No. 863] ○ June 9, 2004 – Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period from the Together Rx Defendants [Docket No. 864] ○ June 22, 2004 – Order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion to Withdraw Motion to Compel Directed at Abbott Laboratories [Electronic Order] ○ Awaiting scheduling of hearing or ruling by Court as to Together Rx Defendants ○ February 23, 2005 – Third Party Schaller Anderson Inc.’s Objection and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Subpoena • June 14, 2005 – Defendant Sisor Inc. and Sisor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Motion for Protective Order with Memorandum in Support <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) [Docket No. 1555] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 28, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Sisor’s Motion for Protective Order [Docket No. 1568] ○ July 6, 2005 – Motion for Leave to File Reply to Plaintiffs’ Response to Sisor’s Motion for Protective Order [Docket No. 1580]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • November 9, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Order Exempting Defendant Abbott Laboratories from Any Order Refusing to Extend Deadline for Track Two Discovery [Docket No. 1875] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 23, 2005 – Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Order Exempting Defendant Abbott Laboratories from Any Order Declining to Extend Deadline for Track Two Discovery [Docket No. 1904] ○ December 1, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Letter to Magistrate Judge Bowler Requesting Postponement of Hearing until Track Two Discovery Issues Resolved • November 28, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16 [Docket No. 1912] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 30, 2005 – Certain Track II Defendants’ Request for Expedited Hearing [Docket No. 1923] ○ November 30, 2005 – Response of Defendant Watson Pharma, Inc. to Plaintiffs’ “Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16” [Docket No. 1924] ○ December 1, 2005 – Order re: Plaintiffs’ Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16 [Docket No. 1925] ○ December 9, 2005 – Track II Defendants’ Submission Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1950] ○ December 9, 2005 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1951] ○ December 9, 2005 – Defendants Baxter Healthcare Corporation and Baxter International Inc.’s Memorandum Regarding Track II Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1952] ○ December 9, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Support of Their Proposed Track II Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1953] ○ December 15, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Reply to Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1964] ○ December 16, 2005 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Motion for Leave to File Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1970] • February 16, 2006 – Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to the Order of Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Issued on February 4, 2006 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 72(a) [Docket No. 2140] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ February 22, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Assented-to Motion for Leave to Reply to Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to the Order of Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Issued on February 4, 2006 to File Such Reply Until March 8, 2006 [Docket No. 2148] ○ March 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s Order Denying Further Discovery of Massachusetts Health Plans [Docket No. 2237]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Dey, Inc.’s and Abbott Laboratories’ Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s Order Denying Further Discovery of Massachusetts Health Plans [Docket No. 2238] • February 21, 2006 – United Healthcare, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Co.’s Limited Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Order Granting Defendants’ Motion to Compel [Docket No. 2144] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 10, 2006 – Defendants’ Response to Third Party United Healthcare, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company’s Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s Order Granting Defendants’ Motion to Compel [Docket No. 2243] • May 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Certify Claims with Respect to Track 2 Defendants [Docket No. 2522] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 16, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2584] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ May 19, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and Class Representative [Docket No. 2590] ▪ May 24, 2006 – Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corp.’s Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and Class Representative [Docket No. 2599] ○ May 16, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Motion to Strike Harold Bean as a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2582] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ May 26, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Strike Harold Bean as a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2608] ○ June 15, 2006 – Defendants’ Individual Memoranda of Law in Opposition to Track 2 Class Certification (<i>individual memorandum filed by each of the Track 2 Defendants</i>) (Redacted versions filed in last week of June/first week of July 2006) [Docket No. 2687] ○ July 19, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Certify Claims With Respect to Track 2 Defendants [Docket No. 2889] ○ August 4, 2006 – Track Two Proposal Concerning the Appointment of a Neutral Expert to Advise the Court on Track Two Class Certification [Docket No. 2957] ○ August 7, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Track Two Proposal Concerning the Appointment of a Neutral Expert to Advise the Court on Track Two Class Certification [Docket No. 2964] ○ August 25, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Memorandum Regarding Harold Bean, in Further Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 3018] ○ August 25, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 3020]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ September 5, 2006 – Plaintiff’s Response to Track 2 Defendants’ Memorandum Regarding Harold Bean [Notice of Filing Under Seal - Docket No. 3063], Declaration of Donald E. Haviland [Docket No. 3065] ○ September 6, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Notice of Supplemental Evidence Relevant to Class Certification [Docket No. 3071] ○ September 11, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Supplemental Filing Regarding the Track Two Defendants’ Motion for Leave to File the Rebuttal Declaration of Steven J. Young in Support of Track 2 Defendants’ Opposition to Class Certification or, in the Alternative, Motion to Strike the Hartman Track 2 Dyckman Rebuttal, Hartman Track 2 Young Rebuttal and the Rosenthal Rebuttal) [Notice of Filing Under Seal - Docket No. 3093] ○ September 11, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Notice of Supplemental Authority Relevant to Class Certification [Docket No. 3094] ○ October 16, 2006 – Class Plaintiffs’ Submission of the “Generics Chart” Pursuant to the Oral Request of the Court During the Class Certification Hearing in Connection with Track 2 (corrected October 17, 2006) [Docket No. 3220; Corrected Docket No. 3223] ○ December 21, 2006 – Track Two Defendants’ Motion to Strike the “Generics Chart” and Proposed Track Two Class Certification Order Submitted by Plaintiffs’ in Support of Their Motion for Class Certification [Docket No. 3499] ○ July 25, 2007 – Defendant Amgen Inc.’s Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4506] ○ August 8, 2007 – Class Plaintiffs’ Response to Amgen and Watson’s Supplemental Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4609] ○ August 23, 2007 – Memorandum of Plaintiffs and Class 1 Representatives Harold Carter, Rev. David Aaronson, and Harold Bean in Response to Defendant Amgen, Inc.’s Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4641] ○ August 31, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Track 2 Class Certification Regarding State Law Issues [Docket No. 4675] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ September 21, 2007 – Individual Memorandum of Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc. in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Track 2 Class Certification Regarding State Law Issues [Docket No. 4738] ▪ September 21, 2007 – Response of Defendants Amgen Inc. and Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Track 2 Class Certification Regarding State Law Issues [Docket No. 4740] ○ September 7, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Submission of Supplemental Authority Relating to Track 2 Class Certification and State Law Issues [Docket No. 4691] ○ September 14, 2007 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Response to Class Plaintiffs’ Response to Amgen and Watson’s Supplemental Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4716]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • June 22, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub Filed by Defendant Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 2755] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 22, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Plaintiffs’ Memorandum and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub Filed by Defendant Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 2754] ○ July 6, 2006 – Warrick’s Memorandum in Opposition to Certain Plaintiffs’ Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub and in Support of Cross Motion for Leave to Take Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2840] ○ July 20, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation’s Cross-Motion for Leave to Take the Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2898] ○ August 3, 2006 – Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation’s Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Cross Motion for Leave to Take the Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2950-2] • November 2, 2006 – Defendant Immunex Corporation’s Objections to October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Regarding Immunex’s Motion for Protective Order Regarding May 17, 2006, Deposition Notice [Docket No. 3303] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 9, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Memorandum In Response to Immunex Corporation’s Objections to October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Denying Immunex’s Motion for Protective Order [Notice of Filing Under Seal – Docket No. 3331] • November 6, 2006 – Plaintiffs’ Objections to the October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Bowler Re: Amgen Inc.’s Motion for Protective Order Relating to Plaintiffs’ Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice Regarding Sales and Marketing [Docket No. 3323] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 14, 2006 – Amgen Inc.’s Response to Plaintiffs’ Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler’s October 23, 2006 Order Granting Amgen Inc.’s Motion for Protective Order [Docket No. 3361] • February 22, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Objections to February 12, 2007 Order by Magistrate Judge Bowler Granting Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Third Party Subpoenas [Docket No. 3802] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 8, 2007 – Abbott Laboratories Response to Plaintiffs’ Objections to February 12, 2007 Order by Magistrate Judge Bowler Granting Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Third Party Subpoenas [Docket No. 3837]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 23, 2007 – Plaintiffs’ Reply Brief in Support of Their Objections to February 12, 2007 Order by Magistrate Judge Bowler Granting Defendant Abbott Laboratories’ Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Third Party Subpoenas [Docket No. 3915] • March 22, 2007 – Declaration of G. Raymond Pironti, Jr. Regarding Time Expended for Preparing Direct Testimony via Declaration and Traveling from Tampa, Florida to Boston Pursuant to Subpoena to Appear for Trial Testimony and Request for Reimbursement [Docket No. 3945] • July 13, 2007 – Notice of Submission to Court of Declaration and Request for Determination of Proprietary Filing Under Seal [Docket No. 4460] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ July 27, 2007 – Class Counsel’s Response to the Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr. In Response to Court Directive Concerning AstraZeneca Settlement [Docket No. 4510] ○ August 24, 2007 – Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr., in Response to Court Directive Concerning the AstraZeneca Settlement [Docket No. 4660] • October 23, 2008 – Plaintiffs’ Offer of Proof Regarding Standing of Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust [Docket No. 5641] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 16, 2008 – Plaintiffs’ Amended Offer of Proof Regarding Standing of Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust [Docket No. 5781] • November 20, 2008 – [Proposed] Consolidated Order Re: Motion for Nationwide Certification Relating to AstraZeneca and the BMS Group [Docket No. 5691] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 24, 2008 – Objection by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP to the Proposed Order Submitted by Plaintiffs With Respect to the Certification Under Rule 23 of Multistate Cases [Docket No. 5705] ○ November 25, 2008 – Objection by Bristol-Myers Squibb Company to the Proposed Order Submitted by Plaintiffs With Respect to the Certification Under Rule 23 of Multistate Cases [Docket No. 5709] ○ January 26, 2009 – Order to Stay Entry of Multistate Class Certification Order Pending Appeal of Massachusetts Class Judgment [Electronic Order] • February 23, 2009 – Revised Objection to Class Action Settlement and Notice of Intent to Appear of Class Member Patricia Weatherly (re Track Two Settlement) [Docket. No. 5921] • March 2, 2009 – Class Counsel Motion for Entry of an Order Granting Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5934] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ [Proposed] Final Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of the Track Two Class

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>Action Settlements, Approving Proposed Allocation of Settlement Funds, and Approving Class Counsel Application of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Compensation for Class Representatives [Docket No. 5934-2]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 2, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5935]; Declaration of Katherine Kinsella Regarding Class Notice in the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5936]; Declaration of Eric Miller Regarding Mailing of Notice to Class members [Docket No. 5937] ○ March 2, 2009 – Class Counsel's Memorandum of Law in Support of Petition for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses and Compensation to the Class Representatives in Association with the Track 2 Settlement [Docket No. 5938]; Declaration of Marc. H. Edelson in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Joint Petition for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses in Relation to Track 2 Settlement [Docket No. 5939] ○ March 9, 2009 – Objection to Track Two Settlement and Attorney's Fee Request and Notice of Intention to Appear at Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 5947] ○ March 16, 2009 – Memorandum of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Certification and Settlement [Docket No. 5953], Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr. in Support of Memorandum of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Certification and Settlement [Docket No. 5954] ○ March 24, 2009 – Revised Memorandum of Named Consumer Plaintiffs in Opposition to Approval of the Proposed Track Two Nationwide Class Certification and Settlement [Docket No. 5971] ○ April 9, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6003], Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6004], Declaration of Thomas M. Sobol in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval to the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6005], Declaration of Jeffrey S. Goldenberg [Docket No. 6006], Declaration of Alex Sugerman-Brozanm, Co-Consumer Allocation Counsel, in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6007], Declaration of Wells Wilkinson in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6008], Declaration of Eric P. Lachance Regarding Consumer Class Members [Docket No. 6009] ○ April 21, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing in Support of Their Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6015] ○ April 23, 2009 – Reply of Objectors John Pentz, Connie Pentz and Corinna Connick to Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6021], Affidavit of Edward Cochran [Docket No. 6021-2]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ April 24, 2009 – Affidavit of Donald E. Haviland, Jr., in Support of Evidentiary Submission for Track Two Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 6026] ○ December 13, 2009 – Objecting Class Member James W. Wilson’s Amended Objection to the Court’s Approval of Attorneys’ Fees in Excess of \$10 Million [Docket No. 6758] ○ December 15, 2009 – Class Member Patricia Weatherly’s Second Revised Objection to Class Action Settlement and Notice of Intent to Appear [Docket No. 6762] ○ December 20, 2009 – Objecting Class Member James W. Wilson’s Amended Objection to the Court’s Approval of Attorneys’ Fees in Excess of \$10 Million [Docket No. 6778] ○ January 28, 2010 – Class Plaintiffs’ Notice of Status Related to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6867] ○ February 4, 2010 – Track Two Defendants’ Response to Class Plaintiffs’ Status Report Regarding Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6891] • March 27, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs’ Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 5979] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 27, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 5980]; Declaration of Jennifer Fountain Connolly [Docket No. 5981] ○ April 23, 2009 – Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Class Plaintiffs’ Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 6019], Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Class Plaintiffs’ Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 6019] • March 27, 2009 – Motion by Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care for All to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum Served by Don Haviland [Docket No. 5982] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 27, 2009 – Memorandum of Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care for All in Support of Their Motion to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum Served by Don Haviland [Docket No. 5983]; Declaration of Jennifer Fountain Connolly [Docket No. 5984] ○ April 23, 2009 – Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion by Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care For All to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum [Docket No. 6020], Named Consumer Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to Motion by Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care For All to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum [Docket No. 6020] • November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6667] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants’ Memorandum in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6668], The J&J Defendants' Local Rule 56.1 Statement in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6669]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ January 15, 2010 – Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to the J&J Defendants' Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6834], Plaintiffs' Local Rule 56.1 Counter-Statement of Disputed Material Facts in Opposition to the J&J Defendants' Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6835], Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to the J&J Defendants' Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Local Rule 56.1 Counter-Statement of Disputed Material Facts [Docket No. 6836] ○ January 29, 2010 – The J&J Defendants' Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6869] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants' Assented to Motion for Leave to File an Oversized Brief in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States Other than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6670] • November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants' Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States Other Than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6671] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States Other than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6672] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • November 24, 2009 – The J&J Defendants' Notice of Filing of Corrected Appendix [Docket No. 6683] ○ November 23, 2009 – The J&J Defendants' Local Rule 56.1 Statement in Support of Their Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States other than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6673] ○ January 15, 2010 – Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to the J&J Defendants' Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6834], Plaintiffs' Local Rule 56.1 Counter-Statement of Disputed Material Facts in Opposition to the J&J Defendants' Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6835], Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to the J&J Defendants' Post-Remand Motions for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Local Rule 56.1 Counter-Statement of Disputed Material Facts [Docket No. 6836] ○ January 29, 2010 – The J&J Defendants' Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Post-

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States other than The Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6870]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ March 5, 2010 – The J&J Defendants’ Supplemental Authority in Support of the J&J Defendants’ Post-Remand Motion for Summary Judgment Against Class 1 Residents of the District of Columbia and States Other than the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No. 6947] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • March 15, 2010 – Plaintiffs’ Response to the J&J Defendants’ Supplemental Authority [Docket No. 6977] • June 29, 2010 – Status Report – Class 1 Plaintiffs’ Status Report Regarding J&J Defendants [Docket No. 7151]; Response by Centocor, Inc., Johnson & Johnson [Docket No. 7152] • July 19, 2010 – Brief by All Plaintiffs – Class 1 Plaintiffs’ Statement Regarding Position Take by the Department of Justice Regarding Dr. Hartman’s 30% Benchmark [Docket No. 7188]; Declaration by Steve Berman re: 7188 [Docket No. 7189]; Statement of Counsel by Johnson & Johnson [Docket No. 7190] • July 21, 2010 – Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Concerning Proposed Class 1 Representative, Mrs. Jimmie Oustad [Docket No. 7193] • August 6, 2010 - Judge Saris Stipulation and Order Concerning Proposed Class 1 Representative, Mrs. Jimmie Oustad [Docket No. 7219] • September 3, 2010 – Judge Saris Memorandum and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 7248] • February 16, 2010 – Motion to Enforce Settlement with BMS [Docket No. 6917] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ February 16, 2010 – Memorandum in Support of Motion to Enforce Settlement with BMS [Docket No. 6918] • June 18, 2010 – Class Plaintiffs’ and AstraZeneca’s Joint Motion for Entry of an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the AstraZeneca Settlement Related to Non-Massachusetts Class Two and Three, Certifying Classes for Purposes of Settlement, Directing Notice to the Classes and Scheduling Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 7141] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 18, 2010 – Class Plaintiffs’ Memorandum of Law in Support of Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement Agreement and Release of AstraZeneca Related to Non-Massachusetts Classes 2 and 3, Approval of the Form and Method of Notice to the Class and Scheduling of a Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 7142] ○ August 6, 2010 – Notice of Filing Revised Settlement Agreements, Supporting Material, and Proposed Schedule for All Settlement Events Related to Settlement of Massachusetts and Non-Massachusetts AstraZeneca Classes Two and Three [Docket No. 7211] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • August 6, 2010 – Revised Settlement Agreement and Release of AstraZeneca

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>Related to Non-Massachusetts Classes Two and Three [Docket No. 7212]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • August 6, 2010 – Revised Settlement Agreement and Release of AstraZeneca Related to Massachusetts Classes Two and Three [Docket No. 7213] ○ August 12, 2010 – Judge Patti B. Saris Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Settlement Agreement and Release of AstraZeneca Related to Non-Massachusetts Classes Two and Three, Directing Notice to the Classes, and Scheduling Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 7225] ○ August 12, 2010 – Judge Patti B. Saris Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Settlement Agreement and Release of AstraZeneca Related to Massachusetts Classes Two and Three, Directing Notice to the Classes, and Scheduling Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 7226] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • August 6, 2010 – Judge Saris Amended Order Revising Schedule Related to Final Approval of BMS Settlement Entered re: 7168 Motion [Docket No. 7217] • September 15, 2010 – Motion to Dismiss Motion for Dismissal of the Johnson & Johnson Group With Prejudice by State of Arizona [Docket No. 7250] • October 1, 2010 – Motion Challenging Plaintiffs’ Proposed Class Representative by Centocor, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Ortho Biotech Products, L.P [Docket No. 7259] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 15, 2010 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion re 7259 Motion Challenging Plaintiffs’ Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 7269] • October 15, 2010 –Motion for Dismissal of the AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and Zeneca Inc. With Prejudice by State of Arizona [Docket No. 7268] • October 20, 2010 –Joint Motion for Dismissal of the Braun Defendants by State of Arizona [Docket No. 7273] • October 21, 2010 –Motion for Dismissal of the Schering-Plough Group with Prejudice by State of Arizona [Docket No. 7273] • October 25, 2010 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by the City of New York and Captioned New York Counties [Docket No. 7275] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 4, 2010 – Judge Saris Order of Dismissal entered [Docket No. 7297] • October 26, 2010 –Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal of Plaintiffs’ Claims Against Biogen Idec, Inc. by Biogen Idec Inc.. [Docket No. 7277]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 4, 2010 – Judge Saris Order entered [Docket No. 7295] • November 5, 2010 – Joint Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Claims Against the Teva Defendants by the City of New York and Captained New York Counties [Docket No. 7298] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 10, 2010 – Judge Saris Order granting Motion to Dismiss Claims Against the Teva Defendants entered [Docket No. 7302] • November 12, 2010 – Motion for Settlement Class Plaintiffs and BMS’ Joint Motion for Final Approval of Class 2 and Class 3 Components of BMS Settlement by All Plaintiffs [Docket No. 7304] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ November 12, 2010 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 7305] ○ November 19, 2010 – Amended Memorandum [Docket No. 7308] ○ November 23, 2010 – Proposed Order for Final Consideration of BMS Settlement [Docket No. 7309] ○ November 30, 2010 – Judge Saris Order for Final Consideration of BMS Settlement entered [Docket No. 7313] • December 8, 2010 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by the City of New York and Captioned New York Counties [Docket No. 7329] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 10, 2010 – Judge Saris Order of Dismissal entered [Docket No. 7332] • December 13, 2010 – Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal With Prejudice by Realtor, Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. and by Abbott Laboratories Inc. [Docket No. 7333] • December 13, 2010 – Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal by Abbott Laboratories Inc., United States, ex rel., Van-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. [Docket No. 7334] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 17, 2010 – Judge Saris Order entered [Docket No. 7357] • December 13, 2010 – Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal With Prejudice United States’ Consent to Realtor and Defendant’s by United States [Docket No. 7335] • December 15, 2010 – Stipulation of Dismissal by United States of America [Docket No. 7336] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 17, 2010 – Judge Saris Order entered [Docket No. 7356] • December 15, 2010 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by State of Iowa [Docket No. 7337] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 17, 2010 – Judge Saris Order entered [Docket No. 7355] • December 15, 2010 – Joint Motion for Settlement Class Counsel and AstraZeneca’s Joint

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>Motion for Final Approval of Non-Massachusetts Class 2 and Class 3 AstraZeneca Settlement by All Plaintiffs [Docket No. 7338]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 15, 2010 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 7340] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Katherine Kinsella in Support [Docket No. 7341] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Robin Niemiec in Support [Docket No. 7342] <p>• December 15, 2010 – Joint Motion for Settlement Class Counsel and AstraZeneca’s Joint Motion for Final Approval of Massachusetts Class 2 and Class 3 AstraZeneca Settlement by All Plaintiffs [Docket No. 7339]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 15, 2010 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 7340] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Katherine Kinsella in Support [Docket No. 7341] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Robin Niemiec in Support [Docket No. 7342] <p>• December 15, 2010 – Motion for Attorney’s Fees & Costs & Compensation to the Class Representatives in Association With the AstraZeneca Massachusetts and Non-Massachusetts Class 2 and Class 3 Settlements by All Plaintiffs [Docket No. 7343]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ December 15, 2010 – Memorandum in Support [Docket No. 7344] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Steve Berman in Support [Docket No. 7345] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Marc Edelson in Support [Docket No. 7346] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Glenn Randle in Support [Docket No. 7347] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Daniel Ryan in Support [Docket No. 7348] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Arthur Steinberg in Support [Docket No. 7349] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Richard Wessels in Support [Docket No. 7350] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of William Einhorn in Support [Docket No. 7351] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Sara Walker in Support [Docket No. 7352] ○ December 15, 2010 – Affidavit of Earl Seymour in Support [Docket No. 7353] <p>• December 16, 2010 – Joint Motion for Extension of Time to April 29, 2010 to Complete Discovery in New York and Iowa cases by Purpac Pharmaceutical Co. [Docket No. 7354]</p> <p>• December 17, 2010 – Class Plaintiff’s Status Report for BMS, Track Two, and AstraZeneca Settlements by All Plaintiffs [Docket No. 7358]</p> <p>• December 22, 2010 – Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice by United States of America [Docket No. 7359]</p> <p>• December 22, 2010 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal by the City of New York and Captioned New York Counties [Docket No. 7360]</p>

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> December 22, 2010 – Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Certain Dey Drugs by State of California [Docket No. 7361] December 22, 2010 – Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Dey Drugs Released Under the Settlement Agreement by State of California [Docket No. 7362] December 23, 2010 – Judge Saris Order of Dismissal of Dey Pharma, L.P., Dey L.P. and Dey L.P. Inc, terminated [Docket No. 7363] December 23, 2010 – Judge Saris Order of Dismissal of Zeneca Holdings, Inc., AstraZeneca LP and AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP terminated [Docket No. 7364] December 29, 2010 – Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice of Certain Claims Against Mylan Parties and Motion for Order of Dismissal with Prejudice by Mylan Pharmaceuticals [Docket No. 7365] December 31, 2010 – Status Report Regarding the Track Two Settlement by All Plaintiffs [Docket No. 7367]